

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,396		12/28/2001	Ryoichi Nagata	2001_1906A	8735
513	7590	09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
		IND & PONACK,	SHEIKH, HUMERA N		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			1615	
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/019,396	NAGATA, RYOICHI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Humera N Sheikh	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MA - Extensic after SI) - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPIALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ones of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In this properties of the provision of the mailing date of this communication. In this properties above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statury received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 May 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ Ti	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
4a 5)□ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	laim(s) 1,2,5,7 and 9-12 is/are pending in the half of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing) is/are allowed.  laim(s) 1,2,5,7 and 9-12 is/are rejected.  laim(s) is/are objected to.  laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application		·					
	•	or					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Re	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ol	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)⊠ 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documen Certified copies of the priority documen Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen the Lopies of the priority documen application from the International Burea the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		_					
	References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🔲 Informati	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  o(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of the Application

Receipt of the request for extension of time (3 months-granted), the Amendment, Applicant's Arguments/Remarks and the Affidavit/Exhibits, all filed 05/11/04 is acknowledged.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7 and 9-12 are pending. No amendments to the claims have been made. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7 and 9-12 remain rejected.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanagawa (US Pat. No. 6,197,328 B1) <u>or Yanagawa (EPO 0 681 833 A2).</u>

Yanagawa (US '328) teaches a nasally administrable composition, comprising an effective amount of a physiologically active compound, insulin and a fine particulate carrier, calcium carbonate having many pores, whereby the porous calcium carbonate has a mean particle size from 15-300 microns and a particle surface area from 0.1 m<sup>2</sup>/g to 0.4 m<sup>2</sup>/g and wherein the nasally administered formulation contains insulin dispersed and homogeneously adsorbed onto the calcium carbonate carrier and is highly absorbable into the body via the nasal route (see reference column 1, line 1 – column 8, line 16); examples and abstract.

Art Unit: 1615

According to Yanagawa, the nasally administrable composition can nasally administer the physiologically active compound, insulin, with higher bioavailability and with less irritability than preparations so far proposed using the carrier, wherein the carrier adheres to the mucous membrane of the nasal cavity (col. 1, lines 45-51).

Yanagawa teaches that the carrier of his invention is characterized by homogeneous dispersion to the interior of the nasal cavity, adhesion to the mucous membrane of the nasal cavity without inhalation to the lung, and the sustainable adhesion of the carrier on the mucous membrane by its own weight and particle size. Such a carrier is a calcium compound, such as calcium carbonate, calcium stearate, calcium chloride or calcium hydroxide, wherein among them, calcium carbonate is preferred and has a mean particle size from 15-300 microns and a particle surface area from 0.1 m<sup>2</sup>/g to 0.4 m<sup>2</sup>/g. The carrier to be used has many pores on the surface as a result of granulation or re-granulation with carriers with each other (col. 2, line 54 – col. 3, line 10).

Examples of physiologically active compounds include peptides, antidiabetics/symptomatic antidiabetics, etc., wherein peptides, such as insulin are preferred. A preferable mode of the invention is a nasally administrable composition having fine particulate of insulin dispersed and adsorbed homogeneously onto the unique mixed carrier of calcium carbonate and high substituted hydroxypropylcellulose (HPC –H) (col. 3, lines 27-55).

The physiologically active compound, insulin, is contained at a rate from 0.0001% to 30% by total weight of the composition (col. 3, line 56 - col. 4, line 4). This range meets the applicant's claimed range of an insulin content of 0.1-50% by weight.

Art Unit: 1615

The composition may further contain conventional excipients such as fillers, stabilizers, binders, lubricants and the like (col. 4, lines 11-20).

The examples demonstrate various preparations of the composition of the invention. Test Example 2 at column 5, demonstrates the teaching of a preparation comprising insulin as the selected physiologically active compound, calcium carbonate as the selected carrier and HPC – H was selected as the absorption accelerator to prepare the composition. These ingredients were admixed to make the composition containing insulin 50 IU/50 mg of calcium carbonate/5mg of HPC – H capsule and the resulting mixture was filled into capsules. The mean particle size of each carrier was from 20 microns to 45 microns. The resultant composition was nasally administered once at a dose of 50 mg. The composition containing 50 IU of insulin attained a high degree of absorption of insulin into the blood through the nasal route compared with the comparative composition in which 100 IU of insulin was contained.

Similarly at column 6, a powdery insulin composition for nasal administration was prepared using ingredients of insulin, calcium carbonate and HPC – H.

With regards to the applicants claimed ranges, the prior art teaches similar or overlapping ranges that read on the ranges as instantly claimed. For instance, Yanagawa teaches a mean particle size of the porous calcium carbonate to be 15-300 microns (applicant's range is 20-32 microns). Additionally, the insulin content taught by the prior art is from 0.0001% to 30% (applicant's range is 0.1-50%). The particle surface area of calcium carbonate taught by Yanagawa is from 0.1 m²/g to 0.4 m²/g (applicant's range is 1.5 m²/g or greater). The surface area taught is slightly less than applicant's claimed surface area, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art that suitable ranges or amounts could be determined

Art Unit: 1615

through routine or manipulative experimentation to obtain the best possible results, as these are indeed variable parameters.

Regarding the particular shape of the crystals (i.e., trabeculate, needle-shaped or aggregation of parallel intergrowth), one of ordinary skill in this art would determine suitable variations of form, which meet the intended or desired purpose.

There is no significant distinction observed between the instant invention and the prior art, since the prior art clearly teaches a nasally administered formulation of insulin, comprising porous calcium carbonate as its carrier, wherein the calcium carbonate has similar particle size and surface area as claimed and whereby the insulin is dispersed and adsorbed homogeneously onto the calcium carbonate. Hence, the instant invention is rendered unpatentable and obvious over Yanagawa (US '328).

Yanagawa (EPO '833 A2) teaches a nasally administrable composition containing a physiologically active substance - insulin, homogeneously dispersed in and adsorbed homogeneously onto a unique crystalline metal compound carrier, calcium carbonate, whereby the carrier has a mean particle size of not more than 250 microns, or more preferably 30 microns to 60 microns and whereby the formulation is administered via the nasal cavity in a powder formulation (see reference pages 2-4) and Abstract.

According to Yanagawa, the composition, which is prepared by homogeneously dispersing the physiologically active peptide, insulin, in a unique carrier, can be applied to the mucous membrane of the nasal cavity to thereby allow a clinically effective treatment.

Art Unit: 1615

Yanagawa teaches that the technique of homogeneously dispersing a physiologically active peptide, insulin, in a carrier, calcium carbonate, provides an equal or higher bioavailability compared with that obtained by injection or oral administration (page 3, lines 2-5).

The carrier includes a calcium compound, such as calcium carbonate, calcium chloride, calcium citrate, calcium gluconate, etc., wherein calcium carbonate is particularly preferred (page 3, lines 33-53).

Various physiologically active substances may be used, and include antidiabetics/symptomatic antidiabetics. A preferred mode of the invention is a nasally administrable composition comprising a physiologically active substance and a physiologically acceptable powdery or crystalline polyvalence metal carrier whose mean particle size is not more than 250 microns and more preferably 30-60 microns (page 4, lines 20-42). Among the physiologically active peptides, peptide hormones, such as insulin are preferred (pg. 5, lines 37-42).

The physiologically active peptide is contained in the composition at a rate from approximately 0.005% to approximately 30% (pg. 6, lines 28-31). This range meets the applicant's claimed range of 0.1-50% insulin content.

The examples taught by Yanagawa show the use of glucagon in combination with calcium carbonate and the use of insulin with hydroxyapatite. Both examples teach the use of peptide hormones in combination with an accepted carrier (see pages 7, 19 and 25).

Regarding the particular shape of the crystals (i.e., trabeculate, needle-shaped or aggregation of parallel intergrowth), one of ordinary skill in this art would determine suitable

variations of form, which meet the intended or desired purpose. Furthermore, the prior art teaches a crystalline or powdery carrier.

Regarding the instant ranges of particle diameter and surface area for calcium carbonate, Yanagawa teaches similar or overlapping ranges for the particle size and is silent as to the specified surface area. However, it is deemed obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art that suitable ranges or amounts could be determined through routine or manipulative experimentation to obtain the best possible results, as these are considered variable parameters. No criticality is seen in the instant ranges, since the prior art clearly teaches a nasally administered formulation comprising similar ingredients (i.e., insulin, calcium carbonate) for a similarly intended purpose as the applicants and in the same field of endeavor, whereby high bioavailability of insulin is obtained using nasal administration. Hence, the instant invention is rendered obvious and unpatentable over Yanagawa (EPO '833 A2).

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05/11/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argued, "Serum insulin concentrations in Yanagawa are enormously lower than those conducted by the Applicant, despite twice the insulin dose of Yanagawa (US '328). The formulation based on the instant invention enables a higher maximum insulin concentration when compared with Yanagawa."

Art Unit: 1615

These arguments have been fully considered but were not found persuasive because the prior art desires an effective amount of active ingredient and the amount of active ingredient recited in Yanagawa (US '328) at column 4, lines 1-4, is within Applicant's desired ranges.

With regards to the Declaration/Exhibit submitted by Applicant, the Declaration has been carefully considered but was not found to be persuasive. The Declaration does not show any unexpected results over the prior art teachings for the use of calcium carbonate particles as a carrier for insulin. The desired insulin concentrations would be routinely established by one of ordinary skill in the art, through the use of routine or manipulative experimentation with the various calcium carbonates available.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1615

Page 9

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Humera N. Sheikh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0604.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00A.M. to 5:30P.M.,

alternate Fridays from 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

H. N. Sheikh N. H. S.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1615

August 26, 2004

THURMAN K, PAGE SUPERVISORY PATEMIT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600